Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation	6	2	2	1	5	1	6	17
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation	1	5		6	6	5	5	23
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation	3	1	5	4		2	5	15
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation			3	3	4	6	4	16
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation	4	4		2	1		4	11
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation	2	3			3		3	8
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation			1		2	4	3	7
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting		6	6				2	12
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation	5			5			2	10
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation			4			3	2	7

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation	2						0	0
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation	6						0	0
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation	3						0	0
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting							0	0
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation	1				-		0	0
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation	4						0	0
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation	5						0	0
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation							0	0
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation							0	0

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

· ·	
	Dec 8 Zozz
Technical Committee Member Signature	Date

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation		3					0	0
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation		2					0	0
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation		1					0	0
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting		6					0	0
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation		5					0	0
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation		4					0	0
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation							0	0
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation							0	0

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 32 to the Task Force:


- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.



12/8/2022

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation			2				0	0
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation			5				0	0
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation			3				0	0
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting			6				0	0
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation			1				0	0
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation			4				0	0

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).





Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation				1			0	0
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation				4			0	0
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation				3			0	0
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting							0	0
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation				6			0	0
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation				2			0	0
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation				5			0	0
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation							0	0
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation							0	0

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 32 to the Task Force:

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

	7.0
T. 1 . 10	
Technical Committee Member Signature	

11/8/2022 Date/

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation					3		0	0
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation					5		0	0
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation							0	0
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation					4		0	0
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting							0	0
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation					6		0	0
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation					L		0	0
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation					2	L	0	0
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation							0	0


- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.

	12/8/27
Technical Committee Member Signature	Date

Region	Project	COE	State	EPA	FWS	NMFS	NRCS	No. of votes	Sum of Point Score
1	Bayou Ducros Marsh Creation							0	0
2	Yscloskey Marsh Creation						1	0	0
2	Bayou Terre aux Boeufs Ridge Restoration and Marsh Creation						2	0	0
2	West Dupre Cut Marsh Creation						6	0	0
2	Bayou Chevreuil Hydrologic Restoration & Vegetative Planting							0	0
2	Northwest Little Lake Marsh Creation						5	0	0
2	Southeast Golden Meadow Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Southwest Golden Meadow Marsh Creation							0	0
3	Bayou Jean Lacroix Marsh Creation						4	0	0
4	East Cove South Marsh Creation						3	0	0

The following voting process will be used to recommend projects under PPL 32 to the Task Force:

- 1. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will be provided one ballot for voting.
- 2. Each agency represented in the Technical Committee will cast weighted votes for 6 projects. All votes must be used.
- 3. Each agency will vote for their top projects, hand-written on the above ballot form
- 4. A weighted score will be assigned (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1), to be used in the event of a tie. (6 highest...1 lowest).
- 5. Initial rank will be determined based upon the number of votes received for a project (unweighted).
- 6. The Technical Committee will vote on "up to four" projects for recommendation to the Task Force.
- 7. In the event of a tie at the cutoff (up to 4), the weighted score may be used as a tie-breaker (if the Technical Committee decides to break the tie).
- 8. The tied projects will be ranked based upon a sum of the weighted score.



12-8-22 Date